

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/029,830	03/10/1998	PEET KASK	P61813USO	6621
JACOBSON PRICE HOLMAN & STERN 400 SEVENTH STREET NW SUITE 600			EXAMINER	
			EPPERSON, JON D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			1639	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	29

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/029,830 Examiner	KASK, PEET				
Eh C		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication as	Jon D Epperson	1639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	September 2003.	•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 77-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 77-96 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and a complex and a c	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/029,830

Art Unit: 1639

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESTRICTION

**Please note:** There has been a change in Examiner from Maurie Garcia Baker to Jon D. Epperson

- 1. The Amendment dated on September 25, 2003, is acknowledged (see Paper No. 28).
- 2. Upon further review of Applicant's newly added claims, an <u>additional</u> restriction and/or election of species was deemed necessary (see below).
- 3. The Examiner further notes that the Amendment dated September 25, 2003 (Paper No. 28) was not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicants failed to indicate which of the newly added claims read on the elected species (see Paper No. 24, paragraph 10).

## Species Election

4. Applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species below.

Applicant must elect one species from each subgroup below. Claim 77 is generic

## Subgroup 1: Species of microscope (see claim 79)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a <u>single species</u> of confocal microscope wherein each element of the microscope is set forth e.g., the microscope in claim 79. Furthermore, Applicants must indicate what the "data acquisition" means are i.e., Applicants must indicate whether the microscope has 1 or 2 detectors and whether it uses one or two color filters.

Subgroup 2: Species of compound of general particles (see claim 84)

Application/Control Number: 09/029,830 Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a <u>single species</u> of particle e.g., virus, bacteria. Please note that if Applicant elects a generic term like aggregate or complex, Applicant must indicate what the aggregate or complex is made from e.g., aggregate of bacteria.

Subgroup 3: Species of measurement volume restriction (see claim 96)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a <u>single species</u> of particle e.g., elements of near field optical microscopy. Furthermore, Applicants must further disclose which "elements" are being used.

- 5. <u>Please note:</u> applicant <u>must</u> indicate which claims read on the elected species above.
- 6. The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. For different species of method, the method steps for each species would differ. Moreover, the above species can be separately classified. Consequently, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and election for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

- 9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentally distinct. applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR) 1.143). Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 09/029,830

Art Unit: 1639

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR

Page 5

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

13. Applicant is also reminded that a 1 - month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory

period will be set for response when a written requirement is made without an action on the

merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will

not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program, see MPEP

809.02(a).

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of

a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to

the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D.

June 15, 2003

RENNETT CELSA